



## High intensity and space charge problems at GANIL

E. Baron, A.R. Beck, M.P. Bourgarel, B. Bru, A. Chabert, C. Ricaud

### ► To cite this version:

E. Baron, A.R. Beck, M.P. Bourgarel, B. Bru, A. Chabert, et al.. High intensity and space charge problems at GANIL. Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Cyclotrons and their Applications, Oct 1986, Tokyo, Japan. pp.234-237. in2p3-00548852

**HAL Id: in2p3-00548852**

**<https://hal.in2p3.fr/in2p3-00548852>**

Submitted on 20 Dec 2010

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# GANIL



HIGH INTENSITY  
AND SPACE CHARGE PROBLEMS AT GANIL

E. BARON, R. BECK, M-P. BOURGAREL,  
B. BRU, A. CHABERT, C. RICAUD

GANIL  
BP 5027 - F 14021 CAEN CEDEX

A.86.06.

October 13-17, 1986 - Tokyo (Japan)

# HIGH INTENSITY AND SPACE CHARGE PROBLEMS AT GANIL

E. BARON, R. BECK, M.P. BOURGAREL, R. BRU, A. CHABERT, C. RICAUD  
GANIL BP 5027 - F 14021 CAEN CEDEX

## SUMMARY

We routinely accelerate up to micro-amperes of ions at energies ranging from 25 to 95 MeV/A. Already at these levels, space charge (S.C) problems drastically affect the bunching efficiency between the ECR external ion-source and our Co compact injector. Moreover in the "2.5" version of GANIL we expect currents above 50  $\mu$ A (Ar<sup>6</sup> for instance) and S.C effects will be of prime importance during acceleration in the injector and even in our first SSC. We present our computer codes and our first results.

## INTRODUCTION

The ECR external ion-source was used for the first time at the end of 1985 and almost immediately we noted a strong decrease of the transmission between the source and the CO2 injector outputs when the source intensity was increased. Figure 1 shows the experimental results: without buncher the transmission turns out to be of the order of 3.5% for the whole range of intensities and the gain given by the bunching system decreases very rapidly (from 6 to 1) when the injected intensity increases from 10  $\mu$ A to 100  $\mu$ A. S.C effects are obviously very important.




Fig.1

In the "2.5" version of GANIL which is carried out we not only aim to widen the energy and ion ranges of GANIL but also to increase the intensities by a factor 10 or even more if possible, at least for some ions like Ar or Kr where the ECR is not the limiting item. Moreover, we dream of a very high intensity version of GANIL suited to intense exotic beam production: such an improvement certainly implies a new small separated sector injector.

So, the present, near future and long term of GANIL are obviously related to our capabilities of solving the S.C problems which will occur, if not already the case, either in the beam lines or in the injector and the first SSC.

## SPACE CHARGE IN CYCLOTRONS

Multiparticle code developed at GANIL: the three-dimensional multiparticle code NAJO was developed in the case of a 4 separated sector cyclotron but in fact, it can accommodate any magnetic field configuration and its main limitation comes from the shape of the accelerating gaps which are presently restric-

ted to radial ones.

Accelerating field effects are expressed as kicks applied at the gap centers and the equations of particle motion in the magnetic field are integrated using the 4th order Runge-Kutta method with a constant integration step of 1°; the electric field itself being introduced point by point in the median plane.

At azimuths chosen at will we can ask for graphical and numerical outputs concerning the 6 coordinates of each particle and the bunch dimensions always defined as two times the RMS value of the related distribution

$$\Delta x = 2\sigma_x \text{ with } \sigma_x^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} u^2 p(u) du / \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} p(u) du \quad (1)$$

in the same way, the two dimensional emittances are defined by:

$$E_x = 4\pi \{ (\overline{\Delta x^2} - \overline{\Delta x}^2) (\overline{\Delta x'^2} - \overline{\Delta x'}^2) - (\overline{\Delta x \Delta x'} - \overline{\Delta x} \overline{\Delta x'})^2 \}^{1/2} \quad (2)$$

S.C effects are taken into account: kicks applied on each particle at given azimuths (in turns per turn). The S.C forces are computed using the equivalent distribution:

$$X = X_0 \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\delta p^2}{\sigma_p^2} + \frac{\delta r^2}{\sigma_r^2} + \frac{\delta z^2}{\sigma_z^2} \right) \right\} \quad (3)$$

which gives:  $X$  stands for  $p, r$  or  $z$ .

$$F_x = \frac{Q^2 e^2}{(2\pi)^{3/2} \epsilon_0} \cdot \frac{N}{L_{tr}} \cdot X \cdot \delta x \quad (4)$$

with

$$X = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\delta p^2}{\sigma_p^2} + \frac{\delta r^2}{\sigma_r^2} + \frac{\delta z^2}{\sigma_z^2} \right) \right\} dt \quad (5)$$

The three kicks are easily deduced from the  $F_x, x$  stands for  $p$  or  $z$ ,  $\delta x$  is the distance travelled between two kicks:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta W &= F_x \cdot \delta x \\ \delta(p_x/p) &= (F_x - F_y \cdot p_x/p) \delta x / (mc^2 \beta^2 \gamma) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Presently we do not take into account neither image effects nor the influence of the adjacent bunches. On the other hand, the equivalent ellipsoid is always oriented along the  $\phi = -x$  axis and centered on the reference particle so that we do not take into account the possible  $r$ - $\phi$  correlation but this will be done in a near future.

Space charge effects in SSC1: in the "2.5" version of GANIL, SSC1 will accelerate all ions at energies ranging from 4 to 15 MeV/A. To illustrate the S.C effects we choose the case of Ar<sup>6</sup> accelerated from 540 KeV/A to 7.5 MeV/A (RF = 10MHz,  $h = 5$ , 64 turns). S.C kicks are applied 8 times per turn, at the valley and sector center lines and the bunch is simulated by 100 particles.

We first compare the results from our code to the ones obtained from theoretical calculations in the case of an isolated bunch at constant energy (no acceleration). Considering a uniformly charged ellipsoid ( $\Delta r = \Delta z, \Delta \theta$ ) the S.C forces are:

$$\begin{aligned} F_r(0,r) &= Q e p / \epsilon_0 \cdot (1 - g(r)), \quad r = R - R' \\ F_z(0,z) &= Q e p / \epsilon_0 \cdot g(r) = K \cdot p \\ 0.1 \leq g(r) \leq 0.33 \quad \text{for } 3 \leq r \leq 4 \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

In the case of  $\Delta s = 15 \text{ mm}$  ( $\sim 5\lambda$ ),  $\Delta r = \Delta z = 5 \text{ mm}$  and  $5.10^{11} \text{ pps}$  we find  $F_r = 16.6 \text{ MeV/m}$  and  $F_z = 3.7 \text{ MeV/m}$ . On Fig. 2, we compare these results with those given by our code (same  $F_r$ ,  $\Delta z$ ,  $\Delta s$  but different  $\phi$  for the two distributions !)




Fig. 2 : comparison of S.C forces as given by formulae (7) and as computed in the code

In the axial phase plane we have :

$$\ddot{\phi} = \ddot{\phi}_0 + K_2 R^2 / (A.A.W) \quad (11)$$

Fig. 3 shows the axial oscillations for  $N = 0$  and  $N = 5.10^{11} \text{ pps}$  :  $\phi_z(0) = 0.885$ ,  $\phi_z(N) = 0.825$  so that  $\Delta\phi = -0.060$  to be compared to  $\Delta\phi = -0.064$  as given by the formulae (18).




Fig. 3

On Fig. 4 we see the vortex effect due to  $F_r$ , the period of the oscillation is 20 turns : from the formulae used by M.M. GONNIN :

$$\frac{d\phi}{dt} = -\frac{hF_r}{A.m.\omega R} ; \quad \frac{d(\phi/W)}{dt} = -\frac{\omega R}{A.W} F_z \quad (12)$$

we can deduce :

$$\Omega = R_c / (\Delta V 2 m c^2 W) \cdot \sqrt{h F_r K_2}$$

which gives an oscillation period of 10 turns with our parameters.

From these very crude comparisons we can however conclude to a rather good agreement : in the formulae, forces are always taken as  $F_r(0, \delta r)$  and  $F_z(\delta s, 0)$  that means overestimated, moreover the distributions are different and the real ellipse does not remain of revolution, its shape changes under S.C forces.




Fig. 4

We now simulate the acceleration of an Ar beam into SSC1. In the axial phase space, due to the high value of  $F_z$ , we do not expect any trouble up to  $1 \text{ mA}$  ( $\sim 10^{11} \text{ pps}$ ) :  $\phi_z$  remains  $< 0.2$  and  $\phi_z$  will never approach critical values : the axial emittance increase remains quite small at the SSC1 output.

In the median plane, the bunch behaviour is much more complicated due to the strong  $\Delta W/\Delta r$  and associated  $\delta\phi-\delta r$  couplings and to the vortices due to the radial S.C force.

From the Fig. 5 which shows the  $\Delta W/\Delta r$  and  $\delta\phi$  behaviour of the beam as a function of the turn number for various intensities, we can draw the following comments :

-  $\Delta W/\Delta r$  increases very quickly during the first turns then it is damped as  $\Delta r$  increases and the S.C forces decrease but this damping is in fact mainly due to the strong decrease of the phase spread




Fig. 5

- if we ignore  $F_r = 0$ , just taking  $F_z$  into account, we are more objective than in the associated  $\Delta W/\Delta r$  drawings (vortices are no more present). That is what happens in a machine where the turn separation is too small so that the bunch vortices inside the individual bunches are negligible

- the  $\Delta r$  shown on Fig. 6 are related to the  $\Delta W/\Delta r$  and not so much to the radial S.C force.




Fig. 6

This can be seen looking at the uncorrelated  $\Delta r$  of the bunch ( $\Delta r_{DC} = r/P \cdot \Delta W/W$ ). In fact, in our case, the monochromatic radial emittance is not strongly affected but as our  $\nu_r$  is very close to 1 (1.07) we will have to check very carefully the case of an imperfect machine where, due to S.C forces, the  $\nu_r = 1$  resonance can be crossed near injection.

Another illustration of these behaviours is given on Fig. 7 which displays, during the first 20 turns, the pattern of two particles in the  $\delta\phi-\delta r$  space for various intensities and on Fig. 8 which shows the bunch projections at injection and after 1 and 5 turns for a given intensity ( $5.10^{11} \text{ pps}$ ).

Fig. 9 shows the bunch projections at injection for 0.2 and  $5.10^{11} \text{ pps}$  : most of the particles ( $> 90\%$ ) remains in a small emittance but of course we observe a filamentation and particles in the tails will be lost in the ejection channel.




Fig. 7




Fig. 8




Fig. 9

**Space charge effect compensation in SSC1** : when the local vortices are strong, the isochronism is destroyed and each particle no longer remains at a given phase versus the central one so that compensation of S.C. forces by a flat-topping cavity seems very difficult even if we choose to compensate locally (on the first turn) and not on the mean during the whole acceleration. Moreover, the compensation to be done depends on the intensity, energy and type of ions so that a flat-topping system covering the whole range of our SSC1, even if possible would be a highly sophisticated device and its tuning very difficult. As a matter of fact, in our simulations we were not able to find out a really good compensation.

However, as noted by M.X. GORDON,  $d\phi/dt$  given by  $E_0$  could be counter balanced by a  $\Delta R/R$  and we can play very easily with the field pattern and the input central phase. In this case, each particle of the bunch remains at a more or less constant phase versus the central one so that we can obtain a certain amount

of compensation which might be improved by a flat-topping cavity. Fig. 10 shows the result obtained by a slight  $\Delta R/R = -7.10^{-5}$  associated with a change of the phase of injection  $\phi_{inj} = -7^\circ$ .




Fig. 10

**Space charge effects in the compact injector** : due to the large phase and energy spread accepted by our compact injector ( $\Delta W/W$  of a few % and  $\Delta\phi = 15-20^\circ$ ), the influence of the longitudinal S.C. force on the energy spread is hardly seen at least up to some hundreds of  $\mu A$ . As a consequence, the radial emittance is not much affected and the effect of vortices is of minor importance below 1 mA.

On the contrary, due to the weak vertical restoring forces (see 0.3) the vertical S.C. effect is important.




Fig. 11

The Fig. 11 shows the output bunches in the  $\Delta W/W$  plane in the case of Ar<sup>6+</sup> accelerated from 28.5 MeV/A to 640 MeV/A at 10 Hz ( $n = 1$ ) for  $N = 0$  and  $10^{15}$  protons (1 mA). At this current level we begin to see the shift in energy of the front and tail ions and a slight phase spread decrease, if room were available a flat-topping cavity would certainly be efficient in such an injector.




Fig. 12

For this same case (Fig. 12 shows the  $Z, Z'$  plane : emittance has been multiplied by a factor  $\approx 5$ ).

#### TRANSFER LINE AND BUNCHING

The main problems concern the longitudinal bunching and the coupling effects introduced by the injector needed for the axial injection in our compact injector. Two codes have been developed :

First, to transfer to our very rapidly an idea of what happens in the  $\Delta W - \Delta W$  space, we wrote a very simple

code CHADIS using uniformly charged discs of constant radius to simulate the bunches. The results we obtain (after an adjustment of the disc radius) being in rather good agreement with the experimental measurements we think that this code can be used to obtain a first feeling of what has to be done in order to improve the bunching efficiency. As we can see on fig.13 the ECR ion source has to be at as high a potential as possible and we hope to be able to use a 100 kV platform. On the other hand, there is an optimum of the bunching length depending on the beam intensity and on the energy acceptance of the injector as we have to accelerate a wide range of intensities the best choice coincides with the shortest length for  $I = 0$  which still gives an acceptable energy dispersion.




Fig.13 : Bunching gain versus injected intensity for various energies and drift length as given by CHADIS

A more powerful code is necessary to define with precision a transfer line and we have adapted an existing one! This code (GALOPG) using the matrix formalism includes the linear treatment of the S.C forces in the 3 dimensions and allows for an optimization of the transfer line parameters in order to adjust at will the terms of the 5x6 transfer matrix of the line as well as those of the 4x6 covariant matrix of the beam for a maximum of 1000. Besides the usual optical element, GALOPG includes bunchers as well as every element of which the transfer matrix including S.C effects is known (for instance the injector inflector). In particular, this code allows very smooth transition from a continuous to a bunched beam. In its original version its results were in good agreement with multiparticle codes!

The very first results given by GALOPG concern the transfer line from our ECR ion source to the injector input of the CO injector. The fig.14 concerns the case of an O+2 beam in our present beam line (Wol.4 KeV/A,  $f = 10$  MHz, a single gap buncher and a drift length of 3.3m) and displays the intensity which is accepted in a phase spread of  $20^\circ$  versus the source current :

- results given by the 3 dimensional GALOPG code (curve 4) concerned a beam always maintained matched in the transverse phase space and a resulting uncorrelated ellipse in the  $\lambda_0$ - $\Delta W$  plane. The same results are again obtained with a cylindrical beam of 10mm radius all along the line.

- using this same equivalent radius in CHADIS we find the curve 3.

If the two codes show the same behaviour of the beam in the  $\lambda_0$ - $\Delta W$  plane where they can be compared, the numerical results differ by a factor 2 which comes partly from the various constraints imposed to the beam in GALOPG but mainly to the fact that in CHADIS, the disc radius to be used is not equal to the real equivalent beam radius in the line.

These results are representative of our beam line, the very low accepted and transmitted currents are due to the very bad location of our buncher and to the very low extraction voltage at the ECR : we will as soon as possible move a double-drift harmonic buncher much nearer in the injector and in the "2.5" version

of GANIL put the ECR at a high potential.




Fig.14 : Bunched current ( $\lambda_0 = 40^\circ$ ) versus injected one ( $\lambda_0 = 360^\circ$ )

- without space charge : without buncher (curve 1) with buncher (curve 2)
- with space charge and buncher (CHADIS : curve 3, GALOPG : curve 4).

### CONCLUSION

Our codes, NAJO for the injector and GALOPG for the lines, are certainly two good tools for studying space charge problems. Nevertheless we will develop multiparticle codes (particles in cells) in order to confirm from time to time the results given by NAJO and GALOPG.

Concerning the case of GANIL, SSC1 is certainly able to accelerate currents around 1mA, some ions in the tails will be lost but due to the low energy (<15 MeV/A) we do not expect much trouble (power and radiation).

In the case of our compact injector, due to the axial confinement increase, it will be limited to intensities below 100 e.p.A.

The problem related to the line from the ECR to the injector is much more complicated due to the injector and to the matching which is to be done. Nevertheless a good position of the buncher together with a higher injection energy will ease these problems.

### REFERENCES

- 1) Project "2.5" at GANIL - J. FERME - these proceedings
- 2) Multiparticle codes developed at GANIL - J.SAURET, A. CHABERT, M. PROMÉ
- 3) Computing in accelerator design and operation - lectures notes in Physics - BERLIN 1993
- 4) Etude de la charge d'espace - M. PROMÉ - Thesis - PARIS 1971
- 5) Effets de la charge d'espace dans un accélérateur linéaire à protons - P.M. LAPOSTOLLE - CERN - AR/Int - SG/65.15
- 6) The longitudinal space charge effect and energy resolution - R.M. GORDON - 5th. Int.Cyclotron conference OXFORD 1990
- 7) Traitement à une dimension du problème de la charge d'espace longitudinale dans la ligne d'injection axiale de COP - E. BARON, A. CHABERT - GANIL 86/29R/1507
- 8) Bunching of intense proton beams with six-dimensional matching to the linear acceptance - M. WEISS - Part. Acc. Conf. - SAN FRANCISCO - 1972
- 9) Single and double drift bunchers as possible injection schemes for the CPS line - B. BRU, M. WEISS Part Acc Conf - SAN FRANCISCO 1973
- 10) Bunch 74 : an improved multiparticle beam simulation program - B. BRU, D.J. WARNER - CERN/NPS/LIN75.2 - Dec 1975.